

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/930,422	08/15/200)1	Peter Ar-Fu Lam	BPCODE2	1550
75	590 01/	/23/2006		EXAM	INER
Peter Ar-Fu Lam 20104 Wayne Ave.				FISCHETTI, JOSEPH A	
Torrance, CA 90503				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·				3627	

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	09/930,422	LAM, PETER AR-FU					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Joseph A. Fischetti	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	.li						
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS c, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 N	ovember 2005.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5,19-39,41-48,50 and 51 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-18,40 and 49</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ceived.					
	·	_					
		③					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	mai нацент Аррисацон (РТО-132)					
		<u> </u>					

4

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of claims 40,6-18 in the reply filed on 7/15/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Also since the last response failed to elect between 48-51, Applicant in a telephonic interview elected to prosecute claim 49. Thus, newly proposed claims 48,50,51 stand withdrawn, along with claims 1-5,19-39,41-47.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spackova et al.

Spackova a method of processing a body profile (BP) code describing the physical dimensions of a human body to facilitate garment shopping, said method comprising the steps of: Spackova et al (1) defines m different physical dimensional parameters of said human body (the dimensional parameters are read as indicia segments 72; (2) measuring each of said m defined parameters a physical dimension of said body to

Art Unit: 3627

produce m values (the computer.. determines the difference between the viewed triangle and the base point of the base point equilateral triangle" (read as measuring because the difference is the physical dimension of the body being determined); and (3) processing said m values to produce a multiple digits BP code for representing said m values (the computer encodes for about 5-6 bits data related to the reference indicia (col. lines 57 et seq.). The feature of compressed code is an old expedient in the art, official notice is hereby taken of the use of compression data.

Claims 40, 6-18, 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spackova et al. in view of Powell. Spackova discloses as set forth above the invention substantially as claimed e.g. coding the data which is appurtenant to the dimension landmarks of the body being measured, but does not disclose a primary compressed n1 digits code and a supplemental n2 digits code for representing said m values and wherein said n2 digits code further comprises a n3 digits code for defining the nature or relationship of said n1 and/or n2 digits code. However, Powell does disclose the use of compressed (in packets) n1 and n2 independent digits to segregate data and further discloses cross correlation of the tags 12 using a code generator col. 9, lines 13 et seq. to define the nature or relationship between data bits. It would be obvious to modify the codes data of Spackova to include the n1 and n2 digit code feature of Powell and to compress same into packets as also taught by Powell and further to use one set to modify the other as taught by Powell's cross correlation feature, the motivation being

Application/Control Number: 09/930,422 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

the efficient movement of small items of data through the system without need of

cumbersome definitions.

Re claims: 6-12,15-18, 49, the application of what the n1 and n2 data strings do is a

function of design and is not a patentable feature e.g., size chart for fitting purpose,

enhance resolution, physical dimensional parameter, non-dimensional related

information related to said human-body, out of range information of a parameter,

quantization linearity of at least one of said parameters, an offset value to be processed

with a size chart, the geographical origin of said BP code, and a decoding process.

Regarding claim 13 and 14, the recitation of n3 digits is deemed to be a mere repetition

of parts answered by Powell's disclosure of n1 and n3 digits.

Re claim 49: if the length of a data string is too long it would be obvious to carry it over

to the n2 digits and the recitation of for recommending a size indicated by said size

chart is deemed a mere application of the data which is not deemed patentable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph A.

Fischetti at telephone number 571 272 6780.

Jann D. Fischetti

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627